

Flow Trading

Eric Budish Peter Cramton Albert Kyle Mina Lee David Malec

WFA Portland

June 2022

Motivation: Trading Portfolios

- We propose a new market design for trading financial assets, which we call “flow trading”
- Trading portfolios
 - Going back to the CAPM and APT, optimal strategies involve trading portfolios of assets
 - Demand for one asset depends on the prices of other assets
- However, in the current financial market design, assets are traded in its own separate limit order book

Potential Factors

- Market clearing may be difficult to obtain
 - The existence of equilibrium requires goods to be generally substitutes or complements with restrictions
- Computation may not be feasible
 - Even if they exist, finding market clearing prices may be computationally intractable
- Path dependence
 - A human can run a limit order book market for individual assets with pen and paper or simple electronic recordkeeping

- Participants can directly trade any user-defined portfolios
 - Any linear combinations with positive or negative weights
 - Markets clear asset by asset
- Markets clear in discrete time (Budish, Cramton and Shim (2015))
 - Especially important when all assets clear the market jointly
- Orders specify piecewise-linear downward-sloping demand schedules, with quantity expressed as flows (Kyle and Lee (2017))
 - Allow participants to trade gradually
 - Preferences are continuous in prices

Benefits

- From combining prior market design proposals,
 - BCS: addresses latency arbitrage and the arms race for trading speed
 - KL: gradual trading saves significant costs of the complex trading platform
 - BCS + KL: address inefficiencies related to the tick-size constraints
- From allowing direct trading for portfolios,
 - ETF trading now 40% of the stock market volume, charging 20 bps on average
 - The size of the industry built around fast arbitrage
- Improves transparency and fairness
- Caveat: does not mitigate market failures due to market power or private information

Preview of Technical Results

- Proves that the market clearing prices and quantities always exist, with unique market clearing quantities
 - Preference language is expressive enough to be useful but simple enough to guarantee existence
- Shows computational feasibility by providing a proof-of-concept based on simulated order books
 - Can clear markets with 500 assets and 100,000 orders in a baseline scenario in 0.15 seconds
- Provides a stylized microfoundation in the static CARA-normal framework
 - Optimal strategies in the theoretical framework can be implemented exactly in the flow trading market design

Flow Orders and Market Clearing

Flow Orders

- An order i is specified by a tuple $(\mathbf{w}^i, q^i, p_L^i, p_H^i, Q_i^{\max})$
- The order is canceled once Q_i^{\max} has been reached
- Flow portfolio demand is defined by

$$D^i(p^i := \mathbf{p}^\top \mathbf{w}^i) = \begin{cases} q^i, & \text{if } p^i \leq p_L^i \\ q^i \left(\frac{p_H^i - p^i}{p_H^i - p_L^i} \right), & \text{if } p_L^i < p^i < p_H^i \\ 0, & \text{if } p^i \geq p_H^i \end{cases}$$

- Demand is continuous in prices without the explosion of message traffic

Market Clearing

- Market clears in assets but demands are for portfolios
- Convert portfolio units to underlying assets to calculate net excess demand vector for assets. Find \mathbf{p} such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^I D^i \left(\mathbf{p}^\top \mathbf{w}^i \right) \cdot \mathbf{w}^i = \mathbf{0} \quad (1)$$

- Let x_i denote flow portfolio demand evaluated at a given portfolio price ($x_i := D^i \left(\mathbf{p}^\top \mathbf{w}^i \right)$)

Our Strategy

- First, define the pseudo marginal utility as the inverse of the demand schedule:

$$M^i(x_i) = p^i \quad (2)$$

where $x_i = D^i(p^i)$ and $p^i \in [p_L^i, p_H^i]$

- Then integrate the marginal utility to find

$$V^i(x_i) := \int_0^{x_i} M^i(u) du = p_H^i x_i - \frac{p_H^i - p_L^i}{2q^i} (x_i)^2, \quad (3)$$

where $0 \leq x_i \leq q^i$ for all i .

Our Strategy

- Market clearing transforms into the quadratic programming problem of finding $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_I)$ to solve

$$\max_{\mathbf{x}} \sum_{i=1}^I V^i(x_i) \quad \text{subject to} \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^I x_i \mathbf{w}^i = \mathbf{0} \\ 0 \leq x_i \leq q^i \text{ for all } i \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

- Has nice properties to prove that there exists a unique quantity vector and there exist market clearing prices
- A sweet spot? Expressive enough to be useful, and existence is guaranteed

Computation

- We need to show that our proposal is computationally feasible
- The goal is to provide a proof of concept, clearing the market in less than a second for a reasonably difficult problem using an ordinary workstation
- We simulate an order book with 500 assets and 100,000 orders, and study robustness
- We use the interior point method, quadratic programming algorithm with superior computing performance

Exchange Trading

- We introduce (small amount of) exchange trading as “a market maker of last resort”
- The exchange submits a linear demand curve for each asset

$$\epsilon_n(\pi_{0n} - \pi_n), \quad (5)$$

where ϵ_n is the slope and π_{0n} is the vector of prices below which the exchange buys and above which it sells

- Makes prices unique and computation more efficient

Simulation Results

- On an ordinary workstation, basecase computation takes about 0.1451 seconds
 - Exchange trades 3.2 dollars per million; Uncleared quantities of 8.7 dollars per trillion
- Computation times range from 0.1159 to 0.2655 seconds when varying one parameter at a time, increase to 0.4291 seconds in the extreme scenario
- Computation times increase to 0.5639 and 4.67 seconds with 1,000,000 and 10,000,000 orders and 1.1021 and 56.3 seconds with 2,000 and 10,000 assets

Microfoundation

Static CARA-Normal Framework

- A trader finds the optimal portfolio that solves

$$\max_{\omega} \mathbb{E} \left[-\exp^{-A(\mathbf{v}-\boldsymbol{\pi})^T \boldsymbol{\omega}} \right], \quad (6)$$

where \mathbf{v} is the vector of asset payoffs.

- It is equivalent to the quadratic maximization problem, whose first order condition yields

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}^* = (A\boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{-1}(\mathbf{m} - \boldsymbol{\pi}), \quad (7)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is the variance-covariance matrix and \mathbf{m} is the vector of mean payoffs.

- The optimal demand for an asset generally depends on the prices of all assets

Singular Value Decomposition

- Since Σ is positive semidefinite, we have

$$\Sigma = \mathbf{U}\Delta\mathbf{U}^T, \quad (8)$$

where \mathbf{U} is an orthonormal matrix, and Δ is a diagonal matrix with nonnegative elements

- Using this, we can express the optimal demand as

$$\omega^* = \sum_{i=1}^K \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_i^T \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{u}_i^T \boldsymbol{\pi}}{A\delta_i} \right) \mathbf{u}_i, \quad (9)$$

The demand for each portfolio only depends on the portfolio's price!

Generalization and Limitations

- With strategic trading, the same method still works when the price impact matrix is positive semidefinite (buying any portfolio increases the price of that portfolio)
- For any strictly concave, twice continuously differentiable quasi-linear preference, the optimal demand can be locally approximated with a combination of downward-sloping linear demand curves for portfolios
- May not work, however, with wealth effects or learning from prices due to upward-sloping demand curves

- Propose a new market design for trading financial assets by combining
 - Orders for portfolios
 - Frequent batch auctions
 - Piece-wise linear demand schedules in flows
- Orders for portfolios are rich enough for direct expression of many kinds of trading demands, yet simple enough for computing market clearing prices and quantities